arXiv:1610.09823v1 [math.FA] 31 Oct 2016

A characterization for Adams type boundedness of the fractional maximal operator on generalized Orlicz-Morrey spaces

Fatih Deringoz[a,1], Vagif S. Guliyev[a,b], Sabir G. Hasanov[c]

[a] *Department of Mathematics, Ahi Evran University, Kirsehir, Turkey*
[b] *Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics of NAS of Azerbaijan, Baku, Azerbaijan*
[c] *Ganja State University, Ganja, Azerbaijan*

Abstract

In the present paper, we shall give a characterization for weak/strong Adams type boundedness of the fractional maximal operator on generalized Orlicz-Morrey spaces.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 42B20, 42B25, 42B35; 46E30
Key words: generalized Orlicz-Morrey space; fractional maximal operator

1 Introduction

As is well known, Morrey spaces are widely used to investigate the local behavior of solutions to second order elliptic partial differential equations (PDE). Recall that the classical Morrey spaces $\mathcal{M}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are defined by

$$\mathcal{M}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)=\Big\{f\in L^p_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^n):\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{p,\lambda}}=\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n,\,r>0} r^{-\frac{\lambda}{p}}\|f\|_{L^p(B(x,r))}<\infty\Big\},$$

where $0\le\lambda\le n$, $1\le p<\infty$. $\mathcal{M}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ was an expansion of $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in the sense that $\mathcal{M}^{p,0}(\mathbb{R}^n)=L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{M}^{p,n}(\mathbb{R}^n)=L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Here and

[1] Corresponding author.
E-mail adresses: deringoz@hotmail.com (F. Deringoz), vagif@guliyev.com (V.S. Guliyev), sabhasanov@gmail.com (S.G. Hasanov).

everywhere in the sequel $B(x,r)$ is the ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$ of radius r centered at x and $|B(x,r)| = v_n r^n$ is its Lebesgue measure, where v_n is the volume of the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

By $W\mathcal{M}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we denote the weak Morrey space defined as the set of functions f in the local weak space $WL^p_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for which

$$\|f\|_{W\mathcal{M}^{p,\lambda}} = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n,\, r>0} r^{-\frac{\lambda}{p}} \|f\|_{WL^p(B(x,r))} < \infty.$$

The spaces $\mathcal{M}^{p,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ defined by the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{p,\varphi}} = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n,\, r>0} \varphi(x,r)^{-1} \, |B(x,r)|^{-\frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_{L^p(B(x,r))}$$

with a function φ positive and measurable on $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,\infty)$ are known as generalized Morrey spaces. Also by $W\mathcal{M}^{p,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we denote the weak generalized Morrey space of all functions $f \in WL^p_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for which

$$\|f\|_{W\mathcal{M}^{p,\varphi}} = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n,\, r>0} \varphi(x,r)^{-1} \, |B(x,r)|^{-\frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_{WL^p(B(x,r))} < \infty.$$

Note that, in the case $\varphi(x,r) = r^{\frac{\lambda-n}{p}}$, we get the classical Morrey space $\mathcal{M}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ from generalized Morrey space $\mathcal{M}^{p,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The Orlicz space was first introduced by Orlicz in [16, 17] as generalizations of Lebesgue spaces L^p. Since then this space has been one of important functional frames in the mathematical analysis, and especially in real and harmonic analysis. Orlicz space is also an appropriate substitute for L^1 space when L^1 space does not work. For example, the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)|dy \tag{1.1}$$

is bounded on L^p for $1 < p < \infty$, but not on L^1, but using Orlicz spaces, we can investigate the boundedness of the maximal operator near $p = 1$, see [13, 14] and [2] for more precise statements.

A natural step in the theory of functions spaces was to study Orlicz-Morrey spaces $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ where the "Morrey-type measuring"of regularity of functions is realized with respect to the Orlicz norm over balls instead of the Lebesgue one. Such spaces were first introduced and studied by Nakai [15]. Then another kind of Orlicz-Morrey spaces were introduced by Sawano

et al. [18]. Our definition of Orlicz-Morrey spaces introduced in [3] and used here is different from that of the papers [15] and [18].

Let $0 < \alpha < n$. The fractional maximal operator M_α and the Riesz potential operator I_α are defined by

$$M_\alpha f(x) = \sup_{t>0} |B(x,t)|^{-1+\frac{\alpha}{n}} \int_{B(x,t)} |f(y)|dy, \quad I_\alpha f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} dy.$$

If $\alpha = 0$, then $M \equiv M_0$ is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator defined in (1.1).

The classical result by Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev states that the operator I_α is of weak type $(p, np/(n-\alpha p))$ if $1 \le p < n/\alpha$ and of strong type $(p, np/(n-\alpha p))$ if $1 < p < n/\alpha$. Also the operator M_α is of weak type $(p, np/(n-\alpha p))$ if $1 \le p \le n/\alpha$ and of strong type $(p, np/(n-\alpha p))$ if $1 < p \le n/\alpha$.

Around the 1970's, the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality is extended from Lebesgue spaces to Morrey spaces. The following theorem was proved by Adams in [1].

Theorem 1.1. *(Adams [1]) Let $0 < \alpha < n$, $1 < p < \frac{n}{\alpha}$, $0 < \lambda < n - \alpha p$ and $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{\alpha}{n-\lambda}$. Then for $p > 1$, the operator I_α is bounded from $\mathcal{M}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{M}^{q,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and for $p = 1$, I_α is bounded from $\mathcal{M}^{1,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $W\mathcal{M}^{q,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Recall that, for $0 < \alpha < n$,

$$M_\alpha f(x) \le \upsilon_n^{\frac{\alpha}{n}-1} I_\alpha(|f|)(x),$$

hence Theorem 1.1 also implies boundedness of the fractional maximal operator M_α.

Guliyev [7] (see also, [5, 6]) extended the results of Spanne and Adams from Morrey spaces to generalized Morrey spaces (see also [11]). Later on, some of these results are obtained in [8, 9] under weaker condition.

The boundedness of M_α from Orlicz space $L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to the corresponding another Orlicz space $L^\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ was studied in [2]. There were given necessary and sufficient conditions for the boundedness of the operator M_α from $L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and also from $L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to the weak Orlicz space $WL^\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

In this paper, we shall give a characterization for weak/strong Adams type boundedness of the fractional maximal operator on generalized Orlicz-Morrey spaces.

By $A \lesssim B$ we mean that $A \leq CB$ with some positive constant C independent of appropriate quantities. If $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$, we write $A \approx B$ and say that A and B are equivalent.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 On Young Functions and Orlicz Spaces

We recall the definition of Young functions.

Definition 2.1. A function $\Phi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty]$ is called a Young function if Φ is convex, left-continuous, $\lim_{r \to +0} \Phi(r) = \Phi(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{r \to \infty} \Phi(r) = \infty$.

From the convexity and $\Phi(0) = 0$ it follows that any Young function is increasing. If there exists $s \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\Phi(s) = \infty$, then $\Phi(r) = \infty$ for $r \geq s$. The set of Young functions such that $0 < \Phi(r) < \infty$ for $0 < r < \infty$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{Y}$. If $\Phi \in \mathcal{Y}$, then Φ is absolutely continuous on every closed interval in $[0, \infty)$ and bijective from $[0, \infty)$ to itself. For a Young function Φ and $0 \leq s \leq \infty$, let

$$\Phi^{-1}(s) = \inf\{r \geq 0 : \Phi(r) > s\}.$$

If $\Phi \in \mathcal{Y}$, then Φ^{-1} is the usual inverse function of Φ.

It is well known that

$$r \leq \Phi^{-1}(r)\widetilde{\Phi}^{-1}(r) \leq 2r \qquad \text{for } r \geq 0, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\widetilde{\Phi}(r)$ is defined by

$$\widetilde{\Phi}(r) = \begin{cases} \sup\{rs - \Phi(s) : s \in [0, \infty)\} & , \quad r \in [0, \infty) \\ \infty & , \quad r = \infty. \end{cases}$$

A Young function Φ is said to satisfy the Δ_2-condition, denoted also as $\Phi \in \Delta_2$, if $\Phi(2r) \leq C\Phi(r)$, $r > 0$ for some $C > 1$. If $\Phi \in \Delta_2$, then $\Phi \in \mathcal{Y}$. A Young function Φ is said to satisfy the ∇_2-condition, denoted also by $\Phi \in \nabla_2$, if $\Phi(r) \leq \frac{1}{2C}\Phi(Cr)$, $r \geq 0$ for some $C > 1$.

A Young function Φ is said to satisfy the Δ'-condition, denoted also as $\Phi \in \Delta'$, if

$$\Phi(tr) \leq C\Phi(t)\Phi(r), \qquad t, r \geq 0$$

for some $C > 1$.

Note that, each element of Δ'-class is also an element of Δ_2-class.

Remark 2.2. Let $\Phi \in \Delta'$, then we have

$$\Phi(tr) \leq C\Phi(t)\Phi(r), \qquad t, r \geq 0.$$

If we set $\Phi(t) = u$ and $\Phi(r) = v$, we get $\Phi(\Phi^{-1}(u)\Phi^{-1}(v)) \leq Cuv \Rightarrow \Phi^{-1}(u)\Phi^{-1}(v) \leq \Phi^{-1}(Cuv) \leq C\Phi^{-1}(uv)$, since $\Phi \in \mathcal{Y}$ and Φ^{-1} is concave.

Definition 2.3. (Orlicz Space). For a Young function Φ, the set

$$L^{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(k|f(x)|)dx < \infty \text{ for some } k > 0 \right\}$$

is called Orlicz space. If $\Phi(r) = r^p$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, then $L^{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If $\Phi(r) = 0$, $0 \leq r \leq 1$ and $\Phi(r) = \infty$, $r > 1$, then $L^{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The space $L^{\Phi}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined as the set of all functions f such that $f\chi_{_B} \in L^{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all balls $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

$L^{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a Banach space with respect to the norm

$$\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi\Big(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}\Big)dx \leq 1 \right\}.$$

We note that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi\Big(\frac{|f(x)|}{\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}}}\Big)dx \leq 1. \tag{2.2}$$

Lemma 2.4. *[3] For a Young function Φ and $B = B(x, r)$, the following inequality is valid*

$$\|f\|_{L^1(B)} \leq 2|B|\Phi^{-1}\left(|B|^{-1}\right)\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}(B)},$$

where $\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}(B)} = \|f\chi_{_B}\|_{L^{\Phi}}$.

By elementary calculations we have the following.

Lemma 2.5. *Let Φ be a Young function and B be a set in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with finite Lebesgue measure. Then*

$$\|\chi_{_B}\|_{L^{\Phi}} = \frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}\left(|B|^{-1}\right)}.$$

The following theorem is an analogue of Lebesgue differentiation theorem in Orlicz spaces.

Theorem 2.6. *[12] Suppose that Φ is a Young function and let $f \in L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be nonnegative. Then*

$$\liminf_{r\to 0+} \frac{\|f\chi_{B(x,r)}\|_{L^\Phi}}{\|\chi_{B(x,r)}\|_{L^\Phi}} \geq f(x), \quad \text{for almost every } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

If we moreover assume that $\Phi \in \Delta'$, then

$$\lim_{r\to 0+} \frac{\|f\chi_{B(x,r)}\|_{L^\Phi}}{\|\chi_{B(x,r)}\|_{L^\Phi}} = f(x), \quad \text{for almost every } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

2.2 Orlicz-Morrey Spaces

Definition 2.7. For a Young function Φ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote by $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the Orlicz-Morrey space, defined as the space of all functions $f \in L^\Phi_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with finite quasinorm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\lambda}} = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n,\, r>0} \Phi^{-1}\big(|B(x,r)|^{-\frac{\lambda}{n}}\big)\|f\chi_{B(x,r)}\|_{L^\Phi}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\lambda}\big|_{\lambda=0} = L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\lambda}\big|_{\Phi(t)=t^p} = \mathcal{M}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Lemma 2.8. *If $\Phi \in \Delta'$, then $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,n}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof. Let $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then

$$\Phi^{-1}\big(|B(x,r)|^{-1}\big)\|f\chi_{B(x,r)}\|_{L^\Phi} \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty}\Phi^{-1}\big(|B(x,r)|^{-1}\big)\|\chi_{B(x,r)}\|_{L^\Phi} \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty},$$

which implies $\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,n}} \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty}$.

Now let $f \in \mathcal{M}^{\Phi,n}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Theorem 2.6 implies that $|f(x)| \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,n}}$ for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, which means that $\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,n}} \geq \|f\|_{L^\infty}$. □

In the following we denote by Θ the set of all functions equivalent to 0 on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Lemma 2.9. *Let Φ be a Young function. If $\lambda < 0$ or $\lambda > n$ and $\Phi \in \Delta'$, then $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \Theta$.*

Proof. First let $\lambda < 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$ we have

$$\|f\chi_{B(x,r)}\|_{L^\Phi} \leq \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\lambda}}}{\Phi^{-1}\big(|B(x,r)|^{-\frac{\lambda}{n}}\big)},$$

which implies that $\|f\|_{L^\Phi} = \lim_{r\to\infty} \|f\chi_{B(x,r)}\|_{L^\Phi} = 0 \Rightarrow f(x) = 0$, for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, since $\lim\limits_{r\to\infty} \Phi^{-1}(r) = \infty$.

Now let $\lambda > n$ and $f \in \mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$ we have from Remark 2.2 and Theorem 2.6

$$\frac{\|f\chi_{B(x,r)}\|_{L^\Phi}}{\|\chi_{B(x,r)}\|_{L^\Phi}} \leq \frac{\Phi^{-1}\big(|B(x,r)|^{-1}\big)}{\Phi^{-1}\big(|B(x,r)|^{-1}|B(x,r)|^{1-\frac{\lambda}{n}}\big)}\,\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\lambda}} \leq \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\lambda}}}{\Phi^{-1}\big(|B(x,r)|^{1-\frac{\lambda}{n}}\big)},$$

which implies that $|f(x)| = 0$, for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. □

Remark 2.10. In the case $\Phi(t) = t^p$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$ from Lemmas 2.8 and 2.9 we get the following well known results: $\mathcal{M}^{p,n}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{M}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \Theta$ for $\lambda < 0$ or $\lambda > n$.

2.3 Generalized Orlicz-Morrey Spaces

Various versions of generalized Orlicz-Morrey spaces were introduced in [15], [18] and [3]. We used the definition of [3] which runs as follows.

Definition 2.11. Let $\varphi(x,r)$ be a positive measurable function on $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,\infty)$ and Φ any Young function. We denote by $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the generalized Orlicz-Morrey space, the space of all functions $f \in L^\Phi_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for which

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}} = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n, r>0} \varphi(x,r)^{-1}\Phi^{-1}(|B(x,r)|^{-1})\|f\|_{L^\Phi(B(x,r))} < \infty.$$

In the case $\varphi(x,r) = \frac{\Phi^{-1}\left(|B(x,r)|^{-1}\right)}{\Phi^{-1}\left(|B(x,r)|^{-\lambda/n}\right)}$, we get the Orlicz-Morrey space $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ from generalized Orlicz-Morrey space $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Lemma 2.12. *Let Φ be a Young function and φ be a positive measurable function on $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,\infty)$.*

(i) If

$$\sup_{t<r<\infty} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(|B(x,r)|^{-1})}{\varphi(x,r)} = \infty \quad \text{for some } t > 0 \ \text{ and for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{2.3}$$

then $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \Theta$.

(ii) If $\Phi \in \Delta'$ *and*

$$\sup_{0<r<\tau} \varphi(x,r)^{-1} = \infty \quad \text{for some } \tau > 0 \ \text{ and for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{2.4}$$

then $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \Theta$.

Proof. (i) Let (2.3) be satisfied and f be not equivalent to zero. Then $\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} \|f\|_{L^\Phi(B(x,t))} > 0$, hence

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}} &\geq \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{t<r<\infty} \varphi(x,r)^{-1}\Phi^{-1}(|B(x,r)|^{-1}) \|f\|_{L^\Phi(B(x,r))} \\ &\geq \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} \|f\|_{L^\Phi(B(x,t))} \sup_{t<r<\infty} \varphi(x,r)^{-1}\Phi^{-1}(|B(x,r)|^{-1}).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}} = \infty$.
(ii) Let $f \in \mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and (2.4) be satisfied. Then there are two possibilities:

Case 1: $\sup_{0<r<t} \varphi(x,r)^{-1} = \infty$ for all $t > 0$.

Case 2: $\sup_{0<r<t} \varphi(x,r)^{-1} < \infty$ for some $s \in (0,\tau)$.

For Case 1, by Theorem 2.6, for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\lim_{r\to 0+} \frac{\|f\chi_{B(x,r)}\|_{L^\Phi}}{\|\chi_{B(x,r)}\|_{L^\Phi}} = |f(x)|. \tag{2.5}$$

We claim that $f(x) = 0$ for all those x. Indeed, fix x and assume $|f(x)| > 0$. Then by Lemma 2.5 and (2.5) there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$\Phi^{-1}\big(|B(x,r)|^{-1}\big) \|f\|_{L^\Phi(B(x,r))} \geq \frac{|f(x)|}{2}$$

for all $0 < r \leq t_0$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}} &\geq \sup_{0<r<t_0} \varphi(x,r)^{-1}\Phi^{-1}\big(|B(x,r)|^{-1}\big) \|f\|_{L^\Phi(B(x,r))} \\ &\geq \frac{|f(x)|}{2} \sup_{0<r<t_0} \varphi(x,r)^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}} = \infty$, so $f \notin \mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and we have arrived at a contradiction.

Note that Case 2 implies that $\sup_{s<r<\tau} \varphi(x,r)^{-1} = \infty$, hence

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{s<r<\infty} \varphi(x,r)^{-1}\Phi^{-1}(|B(x,r)|^{-1}) &\geq \sup_{s<r<\tau} \varphi(x,r)^{-1}\Phi^{-1}(|B(x,r)|^{-1}) \\ &\geq \Phi^{-1}(|B(x,\tau)|^{-1}) \sup_{s<r<\tau} \varphi(x,r)^{-1} = \infty,\end{aligned}$$

which is the case in (i). □

Remark 2.13. Let Φ be a Young function. We denote by Ω_Φ the sets of all positive measurable functions φ on $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,\infty)$ such that for all $t > 0$,

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} \Big\| \frac{\Phi^{-1}(|B(x,r)|^{-1})}{\varphi(x,r)} \Big\|_{L^\infty(t,\infty)} < \infty,$$

and

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} \Big\| \varphi(x,r)^{-1} \Big\|_{L^\infty(0,t)} < \infty,$$

respectively. In what follows, keeping in mind Lemma 2.12, we always assume that $\varphi \in \Omega_\Phi$ and $\Phi \in \Delta'$.

The following theorem and lemma play a key role in our main results.

Theorem 2.14. *[3] Let Φ be a Young function, the functions $\varphi \in \Omega_\Phi$ and Φ satisfy the condition*

$$\sup_{r<t<\infty} \Phi^{-1}\big(|B(x,t)|^{-1}\big) \operatorname*{ess\,inf}_{t<s<\infty} \frac{\varphi(x,s)}{\Phi^{-1}\big(|B(x,s)|^{-1}\big)} \le C\,\varphi(x,r), \tag{2.6}$$

where C does not depend on x and r. Then the maximal operator M is bounded from $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $\Phi \in \nabla_2$.

A function $\varphi : (0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$ is said to be almost increasing (resp. almost decreasing) if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\varphi(r) \le C\varphi(s) \qquad (\text{resp. } \varphi(r) \ge C\varphi(s)) \quad \text{for } r \le s.$$

For a Young function Φ, we denote by $\mathcal{G}_\Phi$ the set of all almost decreasing functions $\varphi : (0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$ such that $t \in (0,\infty) \mapsto \frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}(v_n^{-1}t^{-n})}\varphi(t)$ is almost increasing.

Lemma 2.15. *[4] Let $B_0 := B(x_0,r_0)$. If $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_\Phi$, then there exist $C > 0$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{\varphi(r_0)} \le \|\chi_{B_0}\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}} \le \frac{C}{\varphi(r_0)}.$$

3 Adams type results for M_α in $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}$

For proving our main results, we need the following estimate.

Lemma 3.1. *If $B_0 := B(x_0, r_0)$, then $r_0^\alpha \le C M_\alpha \chi_{B_0}(x)$ for every $x \in B_0$.*

Proof. It is well known that

$$\mathrm{M}_\alpha f(x) \le 2^{n-\alpha} M_\alpha f(x), \tag{3.1}$$

where $\mathrm{M}_\alpha(f)(x) = \sup\limits_{B \ni x} |B|^{-1+\frac{\alpha}{n}} \int_B |f(y)|dy$.

Now let $x \in B_0$. By using (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} M_\alpha \chi_{B_0}(x) &\ge C \mathrm{M}_\alpha \chi_{B_0}(x) \ge C \sup_{B \ni x} |B|^{-1+\frac{\alpha}{n}} |B \cap B_0| \\ &\ge C |B_0|^{-1+\frac{\alpha}{n}} |B_0 \cap B_0| = C r_0^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{Y} \cap \nabla_2$ and $0 \le \alpha < n$. Let $\varphi(x, r) \in \Omega_\Phi$ satisfy the conditions* (2.6) *and*

$$r^\alpha \varphi(x, r) + \sup_{r<t<\infty} t^\alpha \, \varphi(x, t) \le C \varphi(x, r)^\beta, \tag{3.2}$$

for some $\beta \in (0, 1]$ and for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$. Define $\eta(x, r) \equiv \varphi(x, r)^\beta$ and $\Psi(r) \equiv \Phi(r^{1/\beta})$. Then the operator M_α is bounded from $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{M}^{\Psi,\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. For arbitrary ball $B = B(x, r)$ we represent f as

$$f = f_1 + f_2, \qquad f_1(y) = f(y)\chi_{2B}(y), \quad f_2(y) = f(y)\chi_{\complement(2B)}(y), \qquad r > 0,$$

and have

$$M_\alpha f(x) = M_\alpha f_1(x) + M_\alpha f_2(x).$$

Let y be an arbitrary point in B. If $B(y, t) \cap \complement(B(x, 2r)) \ne \emptyset$, then $t > r$. Indeed, if $z \in B(y, t) \cap \complement(B(x, 2r))$, then $t > |y - z| \ge |x - z| - |x - y| > 2r - r = r$.

On the other hand, $B(y, t) \cap \complement(B(x, 2r)) \subset B(x, 2t)$. Indeed, if $z \in B(y, t) \cap \complement(B(x, 2r))$, then we get $|x - z| \le |y - z| + |x - y| < t + r < 2t$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} M_\alpha f_2(y) &= \sup_{t>0} \frac{1}{|B(y,t)|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}} \int_{B(y,t)\cap \complement(B(x,2r))} |f(z)|dz \\ &\le 2^{n-\alpha} \sup_{t>r} \frac{1}{|B(x,2t)|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}} \int_{B(x,2t)} |f(z)|dz \\ &= 2^{n-\alpha} \sup_{t>2r} \frac{1}{|B(x,t)|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}} \int_{B(x,t)} |f(z)|dz \\ &\le C_2 \sup_{r<t<\infty} \Phi^{-1}(|B(x,t)|^{-1}) t^\alpha \|f\|_{L^\Phi(B(x,t))}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently from Hedberg's trick and the last inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_\alpha f(y) &\lesssim r^\alpha Mf(y) + \sup_{r<t<\infty} \Phi^{-1}(|B(x,t)|^{-1}) t^\alpha \|f\|_{L^\Phi(B(x,t))} \\ &\lesssim r^\alpha Mf(y) + \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}} \sup_{r<t<\infty} t^\alpha \varphi(x,t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (3.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |M_\alpha f(y)| &\lesssim \min\{\varphi(x,r)^{\beta-1} Mf(y), \varphi(x,r)^\beta \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}}\} \\ &\lesssim \sup_{s>0} \min\{s^{\beta-1} Mf(y), s^\beta \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}}\} \\ &= (Mf(y))^\beta \, \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}}^{1-\beta}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that the supremum is achieved when the minimum parts are balanced. Hence for every $y \in B$ we have

$$M_\alpha f(y) \lesssim (Mf(y))^\beta \, \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}}^{1-\beta}. \tag{3.3}$$

By using the inequality (3.3) we have

$$\|M_\alpha f\|_{L^\Psi(B)} \lesssim \|(Mf)^\beta\|_{L^\Psi(B)} \, \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}}^{1-\beta}.$$

Note that from (2.2) we get

$$\int_B \Psi\left(\frac{(Mf(x))^\beta}{\|Mf\|_{L^\Phi(B)}^\beta}\right) dx = \int_B \Phi\left(\frac{Mf(x)}{\|Mf\|_{L^\Phi(B)}}\right) dx \le 1.$$

Thus $\|(Mf)^\beta\|_{L^\Psi(B)} \le \|Mf\|_{L^\Phi(B)}^\beta$. Consequently by using the boundedness of the maximal operator, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\eta(x,r)^{-1} \Psi^{-1}(|B|^{-1}) \|M_\alpha f\|_{L^\Psi(B)} \lesssim \eta(x,r)^{-1} \Psi^{-1}(|B|^{-1}) \|Mf\|_{L^\Phi(B)}^\beta \, \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}}^{1-\beta} \\ &= \left(\varphi(x,r)^{-1} \Phi^{-1}(|B|^{-1}) \|Mf\|_{L^\Phi(B)}\right)^\beta \, \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}}^{1-\beta} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}}. \end{aligned}$$

By taking the supremum of all B, we get the desired result. □

The following theorem is one of our main results.

Theorem 3.3. *(Adams type result)*

Let $0 \leq \alpha < n$, $\Phi \in \mathcal{Y}$, $\varphi \in \Omega_\Phi$, $\beta \in (0,1]$, $\eta(t) \equiv \varphi(t)^\beta$ and $\Psi(t) \equiv \Phi(t^{1/\beta})$.

1. *If $\Phi \in \nabla_2$ and $\varphi(t)$ satisfies (2.6), then the condition*

$$t^\alpha \varphi(t) + \sup_{t<r<\infty} r^\alpha \varphi(r) \leq C\varphi(t)^\beta, \tag{3.4}$$

for all $t > 0$, where $C > 0$ does not depend on t, is sufficient for the boundedness of M_α from $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{M}^{\Psi,\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

2. *If $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_\Phi$, then the condition*

$$t^\alpha \varphi(t) \leq C\varphi(t)^\beta, \tag{3.5}$$

for all $t > 0$, where $C > 0$ does not depend on t, is necessary for the boundedness of M_α from $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{M}^{\Psi,\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

3. *Let $\Phi \in \nabla_2$. If $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_\Phi$ satisfies the condition*

$$\sup_{t<r<\infty} r^\alpha \varphi(r) \leq Ct^\alpha \varphi(t), \tag{3.6}$$

for all $t > 0$, where $C > 0$ does not depend on t, then the condition (3.5) is necessary and sufficient for the boundedness of M_α from $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{M}^{\Psi,\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. The first part of the theorem is a corollary of Theorem 3.2.

We shall now prove the necessary part. Let $B_0 = B(x_0, t_0)$ and $x \in B_0$. By Lemma 3.1 we have $t_0^\alpha \leq CM_\alpha \chi_{B_0}(x)$. Therefore, by by Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.15

$$\begin{aligned} t_0^\alpha &\leq C\Psi^{-1}(|B_0|^{-1})\|M_\alpha \chi_{B_0}\|_{L^\Psi(B_0)} \leq C\eta(t_0)\|M_\alpha \chi_{B_0}\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Psi,\eta}} \\ &\leq C\eta(t_0)\|\chi_{B_0}\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}} \leq C\frac{\eta(t_0)}{\varphi(t_0)} \leq C\varphi(t_0)^{\beta-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since this is true for every $t_0 > 0$, we are done. The third statement of the theorem follows from the first and second parts of the theorem. □

If we take $\Phi(t) = t^p$, $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $\beta = \frac{p}{q}$ with $p \leq q < \infty$ at Theorem 3.3 we get the following new result for the generalized Morrey spaces.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $0 \le \alpha < n$, $1 < p \le q < \infty$ and $\varphi \in \Omega_p \equiv \Omega_{t^p}$.*

1. *If $\varphi(t)$ satisfies*

$$\sup_{r<t<\infty} \frac{\operatorname*{ess\,inf}_{t<s<\infty} \varphi_1(x,s) s^{\frac{n}{p}}}{t^{\frac{n}{p}}} \le C\varphi_2(x,r), \tag{3.7}$$

then the condition

$$t^\alpha \varphi(t) + \sup_{t<r<\infty} r^\alpha \varphi(r) \le C\varphi(t)^{\frac{p}{q}}, \tag{3.8}$$

for all $t > 0$, where $C > 0$ does not depend on t, is sufficient for the boundedness of M_α from $\mathcal{M}^{p,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{M}^{q,\varphi^{\frac{p}{q}}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

2. *If $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_p \equiv \mathcal{G}_{t^p}$, then the condition*

$$t^\alpha \varphi(t) \le C\varphi(t)^{\frac{p}{q}}, \tag{3.9}$$

for all $t > 0$, where $C > 0$ does not depend on t, is necessary for the boundedness of M_α from $\mathcal{M}^{p,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{M}^{q,\varphi^{\frac{p}{q}}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

3. *If $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_p$ satisfies the condition (3.6), then the condition (3.9) is necessary and sufficient for the boundedness of M_α from $\mathcal{M}^{p,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{M}^{q,\varphi^{\frac{p}{q}}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

If we take $\varphi(t) = \frac{\Phi^{-1}\left(v_n^{-1}t^{-n}\right)}{\Phi^{-1}\left(v_n^{-1}t^{-\lambda}\right)}$, $0 \le \lambda \le n$, $\Psi(t) \equiv \Phi(t^{1/\beta})$, $\beta \in (0,1]$,

$$\eta(t) \equiv \varphi(t)^\beta = \Big(\frac{\Phi^{-1}\left(v_n^{-1}t^{-n}\right)}{\Phi^{-1}\left(v_n^{-1}t^{-\lambda}\right)}\Big)^\beta = \frac{\Psi^{-1}\left(v_n^{-1}t^{-n}\right)}{\Psi^{-1}\left(v_n^{-1}t^{-\lambda}\right)},$$

at Theorem 3.3 we get the following new result for Orlicz-Morrey spaces.

Corollary 3.5. *Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{Y} \cap \nabla_2$, $\Psi(t) \equiv \Phi(t^{1/\beta})$ and $\beta \in (0,1]$. If*

$$\sup_{t<r<\infty} r^\alpha \frac{\Phi^{-1}\left(v_n^{-1}r^{-n}\right)}{\Phi^{-1}\left(v_n^{-1}r^{-\lambda}\right)} \le Ct^\alpha \frac{\Phi^{-1}\left(v_n^{-1}t^{-n}\right)}{\Phi^{-1}\left(v_n^{-1}t^{-\lambda}\right)}, \tag{3.10}$$

for all $t > 0$, where $C > 0$ does not depend on t, then the condition

$$t^\alpha \le C\left[\frac{\Phi^{-1}\left(v_n^{-1}t^{-n}\right)}{\Phi^{-1}\left(v_n^{-1}t^{-\lambda}\right)}\right]^{\beta-1} \tag{3.11}$$

for all $t > 0$, where $C > 0$ does not depend on t, is necessary and sufficient for the boundedness of M_α from $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{M}^{\Psi,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Remark 3.6. If we take $\Phi(t) = t^p$, $\beta = \frac{p}{q}$ with $p \le q$ at Corollary 3.5, then condition (3.10) is equivalent to $0 \le \lambda \le n - \alpha p$ and condition (3.11) is equivalent to $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{\alpha}{n-\lambda}$. Therefore, we get the following Adams result for Morrey spaces (see Theorem 1.1).

Corollary 3.7. *Let $0 \le \alpha < n$, $1 < p < q < \infty$ and $0 \le \lambda \le n - \alpha p$. Then M_α is bounded from $\mathcal{M}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{M}^{q,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{\alpha}{n-\lambda}$.*

To compare, we formulate the following theorem proved in [4] and remark below.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $0 < \alpha < n$, $\Phi \in \mathcal{Y}$, $\varphi \in \Omega_\Phi$, $\beta \in (0,1)$ and $\eta(t) \equiv \varphi(t)^\beta$ and $\Psi(t) \equiv \Phi(t^{1/\beta})$.*

1. *If $\Phi \in \nabla_2$ and $\varphi(t)$ satisfies (2.6), then the condition*

$$t^\alpha \varphi(t) + \int_t^\infty r^\alpha \, \varphi(r) \frac{dr}{r} \le C \varphi(t)^\beta,$$

for all $t > 0$, where $C > 0$ does not depend on t, is sufficient for the boundedness of I_α from $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{M}^{\Psi,\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

2. *If $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_\Phi$, then the condition (3.5) is necessary for the boundedness of I_α from $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{M}^{\Psi,\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

3. *Let $\Phi \in \nabla_2$. If $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_\Phi$ satisfies the regularity condition*

$$\int_t^\infty r^\alpha \, \varphi(r) \frac{dr}{r} \le C t^\alpha \varphi(t), \tag{3.12}$$

for all $t > 0$, where $C > 0$ does not depend on t, then the condition (3.5) is necessary and sufficient for the boundedness of I_α from $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{M}^{\Psi,\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Remark 3.9. Although fractional maximal function is pointwise dominated by the Riesz potential, and consequently, the results for the former could be derived from the results for the latter, we consider them separately, because we are able to study the fractional maximal operator under weaker assumptions than it derived from the results for the potential operator. More precisely, for $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_\Phi$, integral condition (3.12) imply the supremal condition (3.6). Indeed, by (2.1) we have

$$\Phi^{-1}\big(s^{-n}\big) \approx \Phi^{-1}\big(s^{-n}\big) s^n \int_s^\infty \frac{dr}{r^{n+1}} \lesssim \int_s^\infty \Phi^{-1}\big(r^{-n}\big) \frac{dr}{r}.$$

It follows from this inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(t)t^\alpha &\gtrsim \int_t^\infty r^\alpha\,\varphi(r)\frac{dr}{r} \gtrsim \int_s^\infty r^\alpha\,\varphi(r)\frac{dr}{r}\\ &\gtrsim \frac{\varphi(s)}{\Phi^{-1}\big(s^{-n}\big)}s^\alpha\int_s^\infty \Phi^{-1}\big(r^{-n}\big)\frac{dr}{r} \gtrsim \varphi(s)s^\alpha,\end{aligned}$$

where we took $s\in(t,\infty)$, so that

$$\sup_{s>t}\varphi(s)s^\alpha \lesssim \varphi(t)t^\alpha.$$

For example, the function $\varphi(r)=r^{-\alpha}$ satisfies the condition (3.6), but do not satisfy the condition (3.12).

4 Weak type results

Definition 4.1. Let Φ be a Young function. The weak Orlicz space is defined as

$$WL^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n) := \{f\in L^1_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^n) : \|f\|_{WL^\Phi}<\infty\},$$

where

$$\|f\|_{WL^\Phi} = \inf\left\{\lambda>0\ :\ \sup_{t>0}\Phi(\frac{t}{\lambda})d_f(t)\ \le 1\right\},$$

and $d_f(t)=|\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n : |f(x)|>t\}|$.

Lemma 4.2. *If $0<\|f\|_{WL^\Phi}<\infty$, then*

$$\sup_{t>0}\Phi(\frac{t}{\|f\|_{WL^\Phi}})d_f(t)\ \le 1. \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. By the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{WL^\Phi}$, for all $\lambda>\|f\|_{WL^\Phi}$ we have $\Phi(\frac{t}{\lambda})d_f(t)\ \le 1$, $\forall t>0$. Now as λ decreases to $\|f\|_{WL^\Phi}$, the quotient $\frac{t}{\lambda}$ increases to $\frac{t}{\|f\|_{WL^\Phi}}$. By the left-continuity of Φ, we have $\Phi\left(\frac{t}{\lambda}\right)\uparrow\Phi\left(\frac{t}{\|f\|_{WL^\Phi}}\right)$. Therefore we get the desired result. □

By elementary calculations we have the following.

Lemma 4.3. *Let Φ be a Young function and B a set in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with finite Lebesgue measure. Then*

$$\|\chi_B\|_{WL^\Phi} = \frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}\left(|B|^{-1}\right)}.$$

Definition 4.4. For a Young function Φ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote by $W\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the weak Orlicz-Morrey space, defined as the space of all functions $f \in WL^\Phi_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with finite quasinorm

$$\|f\|_{W\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\lambda}} = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n,\, r>0} \Phi^{-1}\big(|B(x,r)|^{-\frac{\lambda}{n}}\big)\|f\chi_{B(x,r)}\|_{WL^\Phi}.$$

Definition 4.5. Let $\varphi(x,r)$ be a positive measurable function on $\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,\infty)$ and Φ any Young function. By $W\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we denote the weak generalized Orlicz-Morrey space of all functions $f \in WL^\Phi_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for which

$$\|f\|_{W\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}} = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n, r>0} \varphi(x,r)^{-1}\Phi^{-1}(|B(x,r)|^{-1})\|f\|_{WL^\Phi(B(x,r))} < \infty.$$

Lemma 4.6. *Let $B_0 := B(x_0,r_0)$. If $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_\Phi$, then $\|\chi_{B_0}\|_{W\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}} \approx \varphi(r_0)^{-1}$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 2.15 thanks to the Lemma 4.3. □

Theorem 4.7. *[3] Let Φ be a Young function, the functions $\varphi \in \Omega_\Phi$ and Φ satisfy the condition* (2.6)*, then the maximal operator M is bounded from $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $W\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Theorem 4.8. *Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{Y}$ and $0 \le \alpha < n$. Let $\varphi \in \Omega_\Phi$ satisfy the conditions* (2.6) *and* (3.2)*. Define $\eta(x,t) \equiv \varphi(x,t)^\beta$ and $\Psi(t) \equiv \Phi(t^{1/\beta})$. Then the operator M_α is bounded from $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $W\mathcal{M}^{\Psi,\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof. By using the inequality (3.3) we have

$$\|M_\alpha f\|_{WL^\Psi(B)} \lesssim \|(Mf)^\beta\|_{WL^\Psi(B)}\,\|f\|^{1-\beta}_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}},$$

where $B = B(x,r)$.

Note that from (4.1) we get

$$\sup_{t>0}\Psi\left(\frac{t^\beta}{\|Mf\|^\beta_{WL^\Phi(B)}}\right) d_{(Mf)^\beta}(t^\beta) = \sup_{t>0}\Phi\left(\frac{t}{\|Mf\|_{WL^\Phi(B)}}\right) d_{Mf}(t) \le 1.$$

Thus $\|(Mf)^\beta\|_{WL^\Psi(B)} \le \|Mf\|^\beta_{WL^\Phi(B)}$. Consequently by using the weak boundedness of the maximal operator, we get

$$\eta(x,r)^{-1}\Psi^{-1}(|B|^{-1})\|M_\alpha f\|_{WL^\Psi(B)} \lesssim \eta(x,r)^{-1}\Psi^{-1}(|B|^{-1})\|Mf\|^\beta_{WL^\Phi(B)}\,\|f\|^{1-\beta}_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}}$$

$$= \left(\varphi(x,r)^{-1}\Phi^{-1}(|B|^{-1})\|Mf\|_{WL^\Phi(B)}\right)^\beta \|f\|^{1-\beta}_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}}.$$

By taking the supremum of all B, we get the desired result. □

Theorem 4.9. *(Weak version of Adams type result)*

Let $0 \le \alpha < n$, $\Phi \in \mathcal{Y}$, $\varphi \in \Omega_\Phi$, $\beta \in (0,1]$ and $\eta(t) \equiv \varphi(t)^\beta$ and $\Psi(t) \equiv \Phi(t^{1/\beta})$.

1. *If $\varphi(t)$ satisfies* (2.6)*, then the condition* (3.4) *is sufficient for the boundedness of M_α from $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $W\mathcal{M}^{\Psi,\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

2. *If $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_\Phi$, then the condition* (3.5) *is necessary for the boundedness of M_α from $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $W\mathcal{M}^{\Psi,\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

3. *If $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_\Phi$ satisfies the regularity condition* (3.6)*, then the condition* (3.5) *is necessary and sufficient for the boundedness of M_α from $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $W\mathcal{M}^{\Psi,\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof. The first part of the theorem is a corollary of Theorem 4.8.

We shall now prove the second part. Let $B_0 = B(x_0,t_0)$ and $x \in B_0$. By Lemma 3.1 we have $t_0^\alpha \le CM_\alpha\chi_{B_0}(x)$. Therefore, by Lemmas 4.3 and 2.15

$$t_0^\alpha \le C\Psi^{-1}(|B_0|^{-1})\|M_\alpha\chi_{B_0}\|_{WL^\Psi(B_0)} \le C\eta(t_0)\|M_\alpha\chi_{B_0}\|_{W\mathcal{M}^{\Psi,\eta}}$$

$$\le C\eta(t_0)\|\chi_{B_0}\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}} \le C\frac{\eta(t_0)}{\varphi(t_0)} = C\varphi(t_0)^{\beta-1}.$$

Since this is true for every $t_0 > 0$, we are done.

The third statement of the theorem follows from the first and second parts of the theorem. □

If we take $\Phi(t) = t^p$, $p \in [1,\infty)$ and $\beta = \frac{p}{q}$ with $p \le q < \infty$ at Theorem 4.9 we get the following corollary.

Corollary 4.10. *Let $1 \le p \le q < \infty$, $0 \le \alpha < n$ and $\varphi \in \Omega_p$.*

1. *If $\varphi(t)$ satisfies* (3.7)*, then the condition* (3.8) *is sufficient for the boundedness of M_α from $\mathcal{M}^{p,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $W\mathcal{M}^{q,\varphi^{\frac{p}{q}}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

2. *If $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_p$, then the condition* (3.9) *is necessary for the boundedness of M_α from $\mathcal{M}^{p,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $W\mathcal{M}^{q,\varphi^{\frac{p}{q}}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

3. *If $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_p$ satisfies the condition* (3.6)*, then the condition* (3.9) *is necessary and sufficient for the boundedness of M_α from $\mathcal{M}^{p,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $W\mathcal{M}^{q,\varphi^{\frac{p}{q}}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

If we take $\varphi(t)=\frac{\Phi^{-1}\left(v_n^{-1}t^{-n}\right)}{\Phi^{-1}\left(v_n^{-1}t^{-\lambda}\right)}$, $0\leq\lambda\leq n$, $\Psi(t)\equiv\Phi(t^{1/\beta})$, $\beta\in(0,1]$,

$$\eta(t)\equiv\varphi(t)^{\beta}=\Big(\frac{\Phi^{-1}\left(v_n^{-1}t^{-n}\right)}{\Phi^{-1}\left(v_n^{-1}t^{-\lambda}\right)}\Big)^{\beta}=\frac{\Psi^{-1}\left(v_n^{-1}t^{-n}\right)}{\Psi^{-1}\left(v_n^{-1}t^{-\lambda}\right)},$$

at Theorem 4.9 we get the following corollary.

Corollary 4.11. *Let $\Phi\in\mathcal{Y}$, $\Psi(t)\equiv\Phi(t^{1/\beta})$ and $\beta\in(0,1]$. If the condition* (3.10) *is satisfied, then the condition* (3.11) *is necessary and sufficient for the boundedness of M_α from $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $W\mathcal{M}^{\Psi,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

If we take into account Remark 3.6 we get the following weak version of Adams result for Morrey spaces (see Theorem 1.1).

Corollary 4.12. *Let $0\leq\alpha<n$, $1\leq p\leq q<\infty$ and $0\leq\lambda\leq n-\alpha p$. Then M_α is bounded from $\mathcal{M}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $W\mathcal{M}^{q,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if $\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}=\frac{\alpha}{n-\lambda}$.*

Acknowledgements. The research of V.S. Guliyev and F. Deringoz is partially supported by the grant of Ahi Evran University Scientific Research Project (FEF.A3.16.024). The research of V.S. Guliyev is partially supported by the grant of Presidium Azerbaijan National Academy of Science 2015.

References

[1] Adams D.R. A note on Riesz potentials. Duke Math. J. 1975;42;765-778.

[2] Cianchi A. Strong and weak type inequalities for some classical operators in Orlicz spaces. J. London Math. Soc. 1999; 60(2);187-202.

[3] Deringoz F, Guliyev VS, Samko S. Boundedness of maximal and singular operators on generalized Orlicz-Morrey spaces. Operator Theory, Operator Algebras and Applications, Series: Operator Theory: Advances and Applications 2014;242;139-158.

[4] Deringoz F, Guliyev VS, Hasanov SG. Characterizations for the Riesz potential and its commutators on generalized Orlicz-Morrey spaces. J. Inequal. Appl. **2016** (248), DOI: 10.1186/s13660-016-1192-z (2016)

[5] Guliyev VS. Integral operators on function spaces on the homogeneous groups and on domains in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Doctor's degree dissertation, Mat. Inst. Steklov, Moscow, 1994, 329 pp. (in Russian)

[6] Guliyev VS. Function spaces, Integral Operators and Two Weighted Inequalities on Homogeneous Groups. Some Applications. Casioglu, Baku, 1999, 332 pp. (in Russian)

[7] Guliyev VS. Boundedness of the maximal, potential and singular operators in the generalized Morrey spaces. J. Inequal. Appl. 2009;Art. ID 503948;20 pp.

[8] Guliyev VS, Akbulut A, Mammadov Y. Boundedness of fractional maximal operator and their higher order commutators in generalized Morrey spaces on Carnot groups. Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed. 2013;33(5);1329-1346 .

[9] Guliyev VS, Shukurov PS. On the Boundedness of the Fractional Maximal Operator, Riesz Potential and Their Commutators in Generalized Morrey Spaces. Operator Theory: Advances and Applications 2013;229,175-194.

[10] Guliyev VS, Deringoz F. Boundedness of fractional maximal operator and its commutators on generalized Orlicz-Morrey spaces. Complex Anal. Oper. Theory. 2015;9(6);1249-1267.

[11] Gunawan H. A note on the generalized fractional integral operators. J. Indones. Math. Soc. 2003;9;39-43.

[12] Hencl S, Kleprlik L. Composition of q-quasiconformal mappings and functions in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. Illinois J. Math. 2012;56(3);931-955.

[13] Kita H. On maximal functions in Orlicz spaces. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 1996;124;3019-3025.

[14] Kita H. On Hardy-Littlewood maximal functions in Orlicz spaces. Math. Nachr. 1997;183;135-155.

[15] Nakai E. Generalized fractional integrals on Orlicz-Morrey spaces. In: Banach and Function Spaces. (Kitakyushu, 2003) Yokohama: Yokohama Publishers; 2004, 323-333.

[16] Orlicz W. Über eine gewisse Klasse von Räumen vom Typus B. Bull. Acad. Polon. A 1932; 207-220. ; reprinted in: Collected Papers, PWN, Warszawa 1988, 217-230.

[17] Orlicz W. Über Räume (L^M). Bull. Acad. Polon. A 1936; 93-107. ; reprinted in: Collected Papers, PWN, Warszawa 1988, 345-359.

[18] Sawano Y, Sugano S, Tanaka H. Orlicz-Morrey spaces and fractional operators. Potential Anal. 2012;36(4);517-556.